

---

## Appendix A

---

### Analysis of Scoping Comments

#### Big Creek Bridge Replacement Project

Three letters specific to the project were received during the public comment period of September 28, 2017 to October 27, 2017. The disposition of the comments are found in the Table below. The original comment letters are available in the project record.

**Table: Comment Analysis**

Commenter	Comment	Disposition
Gary Macfarlane Friends of the Clearwater,	Theses proposals seem to be beneficial and may fit within CE parameters.	Thank you for your comment.
	<p>However...if the [FS] cannot maintain its bridges on the road system, by definition those routes would be ones the [FS] can't economically maintain. As noted in a letter dated August 28, 2015, regarding travel analysis:</p> <p>[FS] Washington Office memoranda...instructed forests to use the subpart A process to "maintain an appropriately sized and environmentally sustainable road system that is responsive to ecological, economic, and social concerns." The memoranda also outline core elements that must be included in each Travel Analysis Report.</p> <p>The Forest Service needs to answer these important questions about infrastructure before proceeding.</p>	The issue is outside the scope of the proposed action.
Brad Smith Idaho Conservation League,	The Idaho Conservation League supports this project.	Thank you for your comment.
	ICL recommends placing gravel on the approaches to the bridge (within 300 feet of the stream channel) to reduce erosion ... and delivery of sediment to the stream.	Gravel would be placed on the road surfaces and along ditches where, and as needed, to protect stream habitat.
Rod Parks	I am supportive of this project to provide continued access for recreation and administrative use as well as providing protection of waterways and fish habitat.	Thank you for your comment.